

Biodiversity Planning: An Assessment of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans

Christian Prip, lead author





NBSAP project objectives

- Review experience gained by parties in development, implementation and revision of NBSAPs - with a specific focus on developing countries' experience;
- review the extend of mainstreaming into policy, plans and projects, in particular into policies for alleviating poverty and achieving the MDGs;
- identify the obstacles/barriers; and
- develop recommendations for national biodiversity planning.



Method

- •ToR for study were derived from elements of NBSAP guidance included in CoP decision IX/8;
- Collected information from CBD regional workshops on NBSAPs;
- desk reviewed all NBSAPs countries;
- made country studies in Australia, Nepal, Malaysia, Benin, Cameroon, Mexico, St. Kitts & Nevis, St. Lucia, and Canada.



Main Conclusions

Generally, a positive trend:

- picture is more nuanced than described in most earlier reviews;
- that nearly all CBD parties have prepared NBSAP is in itself an achievement;
- 2nd generation NBSAPs are better prepared, more focused, more based on mainstreaming and on self-reliance; <u>but</u>
- NBSAPs have not been able to attenuate the main drivers of biodiversity loss.



NBSAP process

- 171 countries (89%) have prepared NBSAPs;
- 13 countries are in the process of preparing NBSAPs;
- 9 countries have not taken steps to prepare NBSAPs (of which 2 are new Parties); and
- 49 countries have revised NBSAPs or are in the process of doing so.



NBSAP process (2)

- most countries prepared NBSAPs with participation of stakeholders, but indigenous communities, women, industry largely missing;
- most countries created coordination structures to oversee implementation, but often these do not function well; and
- •trend towards greater political ownership at higher level - early NBSAPs typically approved only by responsible ministry, newer NBSAPs often approved at Cabinet or Parliament level.
- •few countries have used tools other than NBSAPs for national biodiversity planning;

Getting the process right is crucial to implementation!

2010 International Year of Biodiversity



Knowledge base

- Most countries have included improvement of the knowledge base as an important objective;
- countries generally have only a basic knowledge of the state of biodiversity in their countries – although this is sufficient to act;
- NBSAPs would have been a good opportunity to increase/better document knowledge about biodiversity.





Mainstreaming with higher and cross-sectoral plans and policies

- NBSAPs portray biodiversity as an asset for, rather than an impediment to development, but:
- not all NBSAPs place biodiversity in a broader development policy context, some NBSAPs may have strong emphasis on development, but MDG plans have no focus on biodiversity;
- improving, but generally poor, reflection of biodiversity in MDG plans. Countries seem unaware that the 2010 biodiversity target was included as a target under MDG 7.



Coverage of the CBD objectives

- Very uneven focus of CBD objectives;
- <u>conservation</u> features dominantly, especially protected areas;
- <u>sustainable use</u> appear mostly in very general terms;
- ABS is absent from most NBSAPs.



Mainstreaming with higher and cross sectoral plans and policies

- EIA is generally covered in NBSAPs, but SEA is included in only very few recent NBSAPs;
- the Ecosystem Approach (EA) is often mentioned, but has usually not been applied as an overall planning tool;
- very few NBSAPs fully reflect the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment and its conceptual framework; and
- most NBSAPs highlight the need to valuate and create economic incentives for biodiversity, but few move beyond general statements.



Sectoral mainstreaming

- much focus on mainstreaming into forestry;
- less on agriculture;
- and even less on fisheries;
- CEPA features prominently in most NBSAPs but often as a "add-on"; and
- Mainstreaming with climate change and other biodiversity-related conventions is rather weak, although some positive signs emerging with recent NAPAs.



National targets and prioritisation

- Only very few countries in very new NBSAPs include time bound and measurable targets;
- Very few quantified targets;
- few countries prioritise between actions in their action plans;
- generally very few NBSAPs with mechanisms for monitoring and review at country level.





Sub-national BSAPs

An increasing number, but only a minority of countries have provisions for sub-national BSAPs.





Financing implementation

- few countries have strategies for financing NBSAPs – most action plans are just "wish lists" of projects without secure funding;
- newer NBSAPs have a more programmatic approach.





NBSAPs in different regions

- few consistent differences <u>between</u> regions, but big differences between NBSAPs <u>within</u> regions;
- the Pacific region seems to be the most "homogenous", with a strong emphasis on indigenous people, ABS and climate change;
- development status does not predetermine the quality of NBSAPs.





CBD decisions work programmes, guidelines etc.

Very few countries use CBD decisions/POWs/ guidelines as points of departures for their NBSAPs – PoWPA and GPCS are perhaps the exceptions.





NBSAPs and other biodiversity related conventions

Very few NBSAPs include measures to implement the other global biodiversity related conventions in their NBSAPS





Conclusions on Implementation of NBSAPs

- Generally, 4th NR and CBD NBSAP workshops show a more nuanced picture of NBSAPs, indicating a bigger impact than previously assumed and reported;
- Some countries have reported full implementation of 1st NBSAPs, <u>but</u> gaps and constraints to implementation are reported in nearly all other countries.





Proportion of NBSAP activities/ elements implemented:

- Djibouti 30% of projects carried out;
- France 32% of actions completed; (additional 54% initiated; 14% have yet to be launched)
- Krygyzstan 30% of strategic components implemented;
- Togo 40% of 119 priority actions implemented;
- Turkmenistan 49% of objectives & activities implemented;

Information from fourth NR: UNEP/CBD/SP/EW/Inf.1



Progress in NBSAP implementation

Progress in implementation of NBSAPs has

mostly been in the following areas:

mproving the knowledge base;

communication, education and public awareness;

coverage of protected areas; and

development of new legislation.

advancing knowledge and promoting learning for policy-making to meet the challenges of sustainable development





Obstacles to NBSAP implementation

- Major design faults:
 - over-ambitious, un-prioritised, under-funded plans poorly communicated to the wider audience are the main obstacles to implementation;
- and, as per Strategic Plan:
 - lack of financial human and technical resources,
 - lack of economic incentives,
 - lack of mainstreaming and horizontal cooperation,
 - lack of public education and awareness at all levels.